## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE: RANBAXY GENERIC DRUG APPLICATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2878

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

All Actions

Master File No. 19-md-02878-NMG

## PURCHASERS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY AS TO THE TESTIMONY OF SHASHANK UPADHYE

The decision by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia in In re Zetia (Ezetimibe) Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:18-md-2836, ECF No. 1313 (E.D. Va. Aug. 16, 2021) provides no basis upon which to exclude the expert testimony of Shashank Upadhye. Zetia is factually distinguishable from this case, and Mr. Upadhye offered opinions in Zetia on topics not at issue here.

In this case, Mr. Upadhye is not offering "a bare contract interpretation" or "an opinion as to the legal obligations of parties under a contract." Instead, he offers opinions, based on his extensive experience in the pharmaceutical industry, about how a reasonable generic pharmaceutical company would have acted in the face of known manufacturing problems and what a reasonable generic pharmaceutical company would have understood about regulatory requirements.<sup>3</sup> On *Zetia*'s own terms, Mr. Upadhye's opinions in this case are admissible:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> What Defendants, Ranbaxy, Inc., and Sun Pharmaceuticals Ltd. ("Ranbaxy") neglected to mention in its Notice is that in *Zetia* portions of the testimony of Dr. Mark Robbins, who is also Ranbaxy's expert here, were similarly excluded by the magistrate judge's separate Memorandum Order, in particular, his contract interpretation opinion and his causation opinion were excluded. *See In re Zetia (Ezetimibe) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2:18-md-2836, ECF No. 1315, at 6 (E.D. Va. Aug. 17, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ECF No. 1313, at 4, 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Purchasers continue to puzzle over Ranbaxy's objection, repeated again in its Notice, to the notion that reasonable generic companies act lawfully, without anticompetitive or fraudulent intent.

"courts may allow an expert to testify regarding custom and usage of an industry when such an explanation would be helpful to the jury." The *Zetia* decision does not undermine the admissibility of Mr. Upadhye's opinions offered in this case.

Dated: August 26, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

## /s/Kristen A.Johnson

Thomas M.Sobol (BBO# 471770) Gregory T. Arnold (BBO# 632738) Kristen A. Johnson (BBO# 667261) Kristie A. LaSalle (BBO #692891) Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLI

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 55 Cambridge Parkway, Suite 301

Cambridge, MA 02142 Tel: (617) 482-3700 Fax: (617) 482-3003 tom@hbsslaw.com grega@hbsslaw.com kristenj@hbsslaw.com

kristiel@hbsslaw.com

Steve D. Shadowen (admitted *pro hac vice*) Richard M. Brunell (BBO#544236) Matthew C. Weiner (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Hilliard & Shadowen llp 1135 W. 6th St., Ste. 125

Austin, Texas 78703

Tel: (855) 344-3928

steve@hilliardshadowenlaw.com matt@hilliardshadowenlaw.com

John D. Radice (admitted pro hac vice)

Radice Law Firm

Radice Law Firm, PC

475 Wall Street

Princeton, NJ 08540

Tel: (646) 245-8502

Fax: (609) 385-0745

jradice@radicelawfirm.com

Paul E. Slater (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joseph M. Vanek (admitted *pro hac vice*)

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> ECF No. 1313, at 9 (citing cases).

David P. Germaine (admitted pro hac vice)
John Bjork (admitted pro hac vice)
Sperling & Slater, p.c.
55 W. Monroe Street, Suite 3200
Chicago, IL 60603
Tel.: (312) 641-3200
pes@sperling-law.com
jvanek@sperling-law.com
dgermaine@sperling-law.com
jbjork@sperling-law.com
mslater@sperling-law.com

Joseph H. Meltzer (admitted pro hac vice)
Terence S. Ziegler (admitted pro hac vice)
Ethan J. Barlieb (admitted pro hac vice)
Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, llp
280 King of Prussia Road
Radnor, PA 19087
Tel: (610) 667-7706
jmeltzer@ktmc.com
tziegler@ktmc.com
ebarlieb@ktmc.com

Kenneth A. Wexler (admitted pro hac vice)
Justin Boley (admitted pro hac vice)
Tyler Story (admitted pro hac vice)
Wexler Wallace llp
55 W. Monroe Street, Suite 3300
Chicago, IL 60603
Tel: (312) 346-2222
kaw@wexlerwallace.com
jnb@wexlerwallace.com
tjs@wexlerwallace.com

Sharon K. Robertson (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Donna M. Evans (BBO #554613) **Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll pllc**88 Pine Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10005
Tel: (212) 838-7797
srobertson@cohenmilstein.com
devans@cohenmilstein.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs Meijer, Inc., Meijer Distribution, Inc., and the Direct Purchaser Class

Linda P. Nussbaum

## Nussbaum Law Group, P.C.

1211 Avenue of the Americas, 40<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036-8718 (917) 438-9189 lnussbaum@nussbaumpc.com

Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class

Renee A. Nolan
William J. Olson
Lowey Dannenberg, P.C.
One Tower Bridge
100 Front Street, Suite 520
West Conshohocken, PA 19428
Tel: (215) 399-4770
glawrence@lowey.com
rnolan@lowey.com
wolson@lowey.com

Gerald Lawrence

Peter D. St. Phillip (PA ID # 70027) **Lowey Dannenberg, P.C.** 44 South Broadway Suite 1100 White Plains, New York 10601 <u>Tel:(914)</u> 997-0500 pstphillip@lowey.com

Counsel for Plaintiff United Food and Commercial Workers Health and Welfare Fund of Northeastern Pennsylvania and the End-Payor Class

James R. Dugan, II
David S. Scalia
TerriAnne Benedetto
The Dugan Law Firm, APLC
One Canal Place – Suite 1000
365 Canal Street
New Orleans, LA 70130
(504) 648-0180
jdugan@dugan-lawfirm.com
dscalia@dugan-lawfirm.com
tbenedetto@dugan-lawfirm.com

Counsel for Louisiana Health Service and Indemnity Company d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue

Shield of Louisiana, and HMO La., Inc. and the End-Payor Class

Richard A. Sherburne, Jr. Jessica W. Chapman Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana 5525 Reitz Avenue P.O. Box 98029 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 80809 Tel.: (225) 295-2454 Fax: (225) 297-2760

Counsel for Louisiana Health Service and Indemnity Company d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana, and HMO La., Inc. Case 1:19-md-02878-NMG Document 465 Filed 08/26/21 Page 6 of 6

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I, Kristen A. Johnson, certify that, on this date, the foregoing was filed electronically via

the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send notice of the filing to all counsel of record, and

parties may access the filing through the Court's system.

Dated: August 26, 2021

s/ Kristen A. Johnson

Kristen A. Johnson

6